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#### Abstract

The new homogentisic acid derivatives miliusol (1b) and miliusolide (2) from Miliusa balansae were isolated and structurally determined by spectroscopic means. The relative configurations of the new $\mathbf{1 b}$ and its known acetate la were established. Furthermore, the symmetric ether bis(2-hydroxyphenyl)methyl ether 3, which was isolated for the first time from a natural source, the known flavonoids pachypodol and chrysosplenol C, and sodium benzoate were identified.


The plant Miliusa balansae Fin. \& Gagn. is a shrub of the family Annonaceae. ${ }^{1}$ In Chinese traditional medicine, the plant is used to treat various diseases, for example, gastropathy and glomerulonephropathy. ${ }^{2}$ Recently, a new geranyl homogentisic acid derivative named miliusate (la) with a very unusual spiro structure was isolated from this plant, but the relative configuration was not determined. ${ }^{2}$ In a previous study, in addition to miliusate (1a) and some known flavanones and di hydrochalcones, we elucidated the structures of two new compounds, 3,4-dimethoxy-6-styrylpy-ran-2-one and ( $2 \mathrm{E}, 5 \mathrm{E}$ )-2-methoxy-4-oxo-6-phenylhexa-2,5dienic acid methyl ester, from the title plant collected in Hoa Binh Province. ${ }^{3}$ In this paper, we report on the constituents from M. balansae collected in Hai Duong Province. Instead of miliusate (1a), we found the free alcohol miliusol (1b) and established its relative configuration. A further new homogentisic acid derivative (2), miliusolide, and a symmetric ether (3), which was not yet isolated from a natural source, are also described.
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Miliusol (1b) was obtained as an oil. The molecular formula was determined as $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ from the $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327$ in the HRESITOFMS. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NM R spectra were similar to those of miliusate (1a) except for the missing acetyl group and the associated upfield shift of H-9 ( $\delta 4.61$ ). Full analysis of $\mathrm{HH}-\mathrm{COSY}$ and HMBC experiments (Table 1) confirmed $\mathbf{1 b}$ to be the hitherto unknown free al cohol of miliusate (1a). The compound was named miliusol. The relative configuration was deduced

[^0]

Figure 1. NOE enhancements observed in the NOESY experiment of miliusol (1b). $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$.
from the NOESY spectrum, in which a NOE enhancement between $\mathrm{H}-1(\delta 5.45)$ and $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}(\delta 2.57)$ established the cisrelationship of these protons. In Figure 1 they are depicted at the back of the five-membered ring. Further NOE interactions of the methylene protons $\mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B}$ ( $\delta 2.11$ and 2.33 ) with these two background protons showed that the bond C-9/C-10 of the cyclohexene is also located in the background, resulting in the depicted configuration for C-5. Proton H-9 gave NOE interactions to $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}$ ( $\delta 2.57$ and 2.87) and thus must be oriented downward. This was further supported by the NOESY correlations $\mathrm{H}-9 / \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{OH}-9 / \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}$, indicating the cisrelationships of both pairs. As expected, the C-9-hydroxy group assumed an equatorial configuration, because the observed W-coupling of about 1 Hz between $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B}$ is possible only in the case of the equatorial conformation of H-10B. Finally, the NOESY correlation $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime}$ confirmed the E-configuration of the $\Delta^{1^{\prime}}$-double bond. Miliusate (1a) is proposed to have the same relative configuration as miliusol (1b). All the above-mentioned NOE effects for 1b werealso observed in the NOESY spectrum of miliusate (1a), but the correlations of H-4A ( $\delta 2.27$ ) and $\mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}(\delta$ 2.26) could not be distinguished due to overlapping. Also, the HH coupling constants of $\mathbf{l a}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}{ }^{3}$ are in very close correspondence to those of $\mathbf{1 b}$ in the same solvent. All these data support the same relative configuration of both $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 a}$.

Miliusolide (2) was isolated as colorless crystals. The molecular formula was determined as $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ from the [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 281$ in the HRESITOFMS. A benzoyl ester moiety was deduced from the base peak in the EIMS at m/z 105 and the characteristic chemical shifts in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra (Table 2). F or the alcohol moiety, the spectra exhibited a cis double bond ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 134.0, $125.3, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.20,6.08,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ нн $=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), two oxygensubstituted methine groups ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 74.2,67.7, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.84,5.57$ ), and one ester or lactone group ( $\delta_{C}$ 175.5). The HH-COSY experiment reveal ed the spin system $\mathrm{H}-3 \alpha / \beta-\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}-4 \alpha /$ $\beta-\mathrm{H}-5-\mathrm{H}-6-\mathrm{H}-7-\mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$. An additional correlation (3) нн)

Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) Data of Miliusol (1b) in Acetone $\mathrm{d}_{6}\left(\delta\right.$ in ppm, J in Hz ) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$

| position | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | HH correlations ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ long-range correlations ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | NOE enhancements ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 82.5 | 5.45 d (9.8) | H-1' | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B}, \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}(w), \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B}, \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 175.1 |  |  | H-1, H-4A, H-4B |  |
| 4 | 38.8 | A: 2.57 d (17.2) | H-4A | H-1 (w), H-10A, H-10B | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-1(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{H}-9(\mathrm{w}), \\ & \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 |  | B: 2.87 d (17.2) | H-4B |  | H-4A |
| 5 | 53.5 197.7 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-9(\mathrm{w}) \\ & \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}(\mathrm{vw}), \mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime} \text { (w) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6 | 197.7 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A} \text {, } \\ & \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 7 | 128.3 | 5.84 dd (10.2, 1.6) | H-8, H-9 (w) | H-9 (w) | H-8 |
| 8 | 153.2 | 6.98 ddd (10.1, 2.9, 0.8) | H-7, H-9, H-10B (w) | H-9 (w), H-10A, H-10B | $\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-9$ |
| 9 | 64.3 | $4.61 \mathrm{~m}\left(\Delta \nu_{1 / 2}=14 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ | H-8, H-10A, H-10B | H-7, H-10A, H-10B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H-4A (w), H-8, H-10A (w), } \\ & \text { H-10B } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | $40.36{ }^{\text {f }}$ | A: $2.11 \mathrm{dd}(13.4,7.3)$ | H-9, H-10A, H-10B | H-1, H-4A, H-4B, H-8 | $\mathrm{H}-1(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}-9 \text { (w), H-10B }$ |
| 10 |  | ```B: 2.33 ddd (13.4, 5.1, 1.1)``` | $\mathrm{H}-8(w), \mathrm{H}-9$ |  | $\mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-9, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| $1 '$ | 120.1 | $5.27 \mathrm{dq}(9.8,1.2)$ | $\mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime}(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime}$ | H-3', $\mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime}$ |
| $2 '$ | 144.1 |  |  | $\mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime} / 4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime}$ |  |
| 3 | $40.34^{\text {f }}$ | $2.00-2.08 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-7^{\prime}(\mathrm{vw}), \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}(\mathrm{vw}), \mathrm{H}_{3}-9^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | H-1', H-5', |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 26.8 | $2.00-2.08 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{7}^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}(\mathrm{w})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}$ |
| 5 | 124.4 | 5.02 tsept (6.9, 1.4) | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-7^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime} / 44^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-7^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-7^{\prime}$ |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 132.2 |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-7^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}$ |  |
| 7 | 25.8 | $1.63 \mathrm{~d}(1.1)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}$ | H-5' |
| $8{ }^{\prime}$ | 17.7 | $1.57 \mathrm{~d}(0.6)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{3} 7^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime} / 4^{\prime}$, |
| $9^{\prime}$ | 16.9 | $1.73 \mathrm{~d}(1.4)$ | $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime} / 4^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime}$ | H-1 |
| OH-9 |  | 4.82 d (5.2) |  |  | H-9, H-10A (w) |

[^1]Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) Data of Miliusolide $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta$ in ppm , J in Hz)

| position | $\delta_{C}$ | $\delta_{H}$ | HH correlations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ long-range correlations ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NOE enhancements ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 175.5 | $\alpha: 2.87$ dd (17.4, 8.3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H-3 , H-3a } \\ & \text { H-3 } 3, \text { H-3a } \\ & \text { H-3a,H-3 } H-H-4 \alpha, H-4 \beta, \\ & \text { H-7a } \end{aligned}$ | H-3 $\alpha, \mathrm{H}-3 \beta$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-3 \beta, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{w}) \\ & \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H}-4 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-4 \beta \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 35.9 |  |  | $\mathrm{H}-4 \alpha$ (w), H-4 $\beta$ (w) |  |
|  |  | $\beta: 2.50 \mathrm{dd}(17.4,2.8)$ |  |  |  |
| 3 a | 31.7 | 2.77 m |  | H-3 $, ~ H-3 \beta, H-4 \alpha, H-4 \beta$, | $\mathrm{H}-3 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-3 \beta, \mathrm{H}-4 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-4 \beta$, |
|  |  |  |  | H-7 (w) | H-5, H-7a |
| 4 | 29.4 | $\alpha: 2.23 \mathrm{dt}(12.8,4.8)$ | H-3a, H-4 $\beta$, H-5 | H-3 $\alpha, \mathrm{H}-3 \beta, \mathrm{H}-6$ | H-3 $\beta$, H-3a, H-4 $\beta$, H-5 |
|  |  | $\beta$ : 1.72 ddd (12.6, | H-3a, H-4 $\alpha, \mathrm{H}-5$ |  | $\mathrm{H}-3 \beta, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H}-4 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-5$ (w) |
|  |  | 11.9, 9.0) |  |  |  |
| 5 | 67.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.57 \text { dddd (9.0, 5.2, } \\ & 3.7,2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-4 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-4 \beta, \mathrm{H}-6(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}-7 \\ & (\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | H-4 $\alpha, \mathrm{H}-4 \beta, \mathrm{H}-7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H-3a, H-4 }, \mathrm{H}-4 \beta, \mathrm{H}-6 \text {, } \\ & \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime} / 6^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 134.0 | $6.20 \mathrm{dm}(10.2)$ | H-4 ${ }^{\text {(w) }}$, H-5, H-7, H-7a (w) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-4 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-4 \beta, \mathrm{H}-5(\mathrm{w}), \\ & \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime} / 6^{\prime \prime}$ (w) |
| 7 | 125.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.08 \text { ddd (10.2, 3.6, } \\ & 1.9 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | H-3 (w), H-5 (w), H-6, H-7a | H-5, H-7a (w) | H-5 (w), H-7a |
| 7 a | 74.2 | 4.84 m | H-3a, H-5 (w), H-6 (w), H-7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-3 \beta, \mathrm{H}-4 \alpha, \mathrm{H}-4 \beta \text {, } \\ & \mathrm{H}-6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & H-3 \alpha, H-3 a, H-4 \alpha(w), H-5 \\ & (w), H-7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 165.9 |  |  | H-5 (w), H-2'/6", H-3"/5" |  |
| 1" | 129.8 |  |  | H-3'15" |  |
| $2^{\prime \prime} / 6^{\prime \prime}$ | 129.7 | 8.03 dd (8.3, 1.1) | H-3'1/5", H-4" | H-6" 12 ", H-4" | H-3\%, H-5 (w), H-6 (w) |
| $3^{\prime \prime} / 5^{\prime \prime}$ | 128.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.46 \text { ddd ( } 8.0,7.5 \text {, } \\ & 1.5 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | H-2"/6", H-4" | H-5"/3" |  |
| 4" | 133.4 | 7.59 tt (7.4, 1.3) | H-2"/6", H-3"/5" | H-2'/6" |  |

${ }^{a}$ From $\mathrm{HH}-\mathrm{COSY}$ experiment. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ From ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC experiment. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ From NOESY experiment. (w) = weak.
between $\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$ indicated a ring closure. The benzoyl moiety was located at C-5 ( $\delta 67.7$ ) because of the CH long-range correlation in the HMBC experiment between the benzoyl carbonyl carbon $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}(\delta 165.9)$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ at $\delta 5.57$. The number of double-bond equivalents in the molecular formula indicated an additional ring closure via an oxygen bridge between C-2 ( $\delta 175.5$ ) and C-7a ( $\delta 74.2$ ), which was confirmed by the characteristic IR band for a $\gamma$-lactone at $1781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These data led to the structure 5-benzoyloxy-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-3H-benzofuran-2-one for 2. The relative configuration was obtained from the NOESY experiment, where the strong interactions $\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$ and H-3a/H-5 revealed that all these protons have cis-relation-
ships to give the configuration 3aS*,5S*,7aR*. This benzoyl ester (2) and the free alcohol are new compounds. An isomeric alcohol with the absolute configuration $3 \mathrm{BS}, 5 \mathrm{R}$,$7 a R$ and its enantiomer are known as synthetic products. ${ }^{4}$
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compound 3 showed a 1,2-disubstituted benzene ring (ABCD spin system at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 7.24-6.88), a phenolic hydroxyl group ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.80$ ), and an oxygen-substituted $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.74, \mathrm{~s}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 70.5$ ), suggesting the structure 2-(hydroxymethyl) phenol, which is a common natural product. However, the molecular ion in the EIMS was found at $m / z 230$, and the carbon shift of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group in $\mathbf{3}$ is about 8 ppm higher than that of the of hydroxymethyl alcohol, ${ }^{5}$ suggesting a symmetric ether.

The carbon shifts in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ were in correspondence with the synthetic compound bis(2-hydroxyphenyl)methyl ether in acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6},{ }^{5}$ which has not yet been found as a natural product.

In addition, the flavone pachypodol was identified by comparison of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ with reference data. ${ }^{6}$ The structure of chrysosplenol $C^{7}$ (4) was identified by analysis of the HMBC experiment. Sodium benzoate was identified from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra ${ }^{8}$ and its $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 167$ in the ESIMS.

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points are uncorrected and were measured on a Botius HMK melting point apparatus. The optical rotations were recorded on a J ASCO DIP 1000 polarimeter. FTIR spectra were measured on a Nicolet Impact 410 spectrometer. NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer. EIMS was measured on an HP 5989B mass spectrometer at 70 eV . HRESITOFMS were measured on a QStar Pulsar spectrometer (Applied Biosystems).

Plant Material. Leaves and branches of M. balansaewere collected near Hoang H oa Tham, Chi Linh District, Hai Duong Province, Vietnam, in October 2000, and identified by Mr. Ngo Van Trai, Institute of Materia Medica, Hanoi. A voucher specimen (No. TC023) is deposited at the Institute of Pharmacy, Hanoi.

Extraction and Isolation. Air-dried and ground leaves
 times with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (95:5) at room temperature to give 200 g of a MeOH extract after evaporation of the sol vent. This extract ( 160 g ) was redissolved in water and subjected to liquid-liquid partitioning with EtOAc and n-BuOH, successively, giving 110 g of EtOAc extract and 40 g of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuOH}$ extract. The EtOAc extract was separated by col umn chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh) using sol vent mixtures of increasing polarity ( $n$-hexane, EtOAc, and MeOH ), giving 10 fractions. Fraction $2(2 \mathrm{~g})$ was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 3 mg of compound 3. Fraction $4(5.7 \mathrm{~g})$ was crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 1.2 g of pachypodol. The mother liquor contained miliusolide (2), which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using mixtures of $n$-hexane-EtOAc with increasing polarity to give 15 mg of 2. Fraction $5(11 \mathrm{~g})$ was chromatographed on silica gel with solvent mixtures with increasing pol arity ( $n$-hexane, EtOAc, and MeOH ). An aliquot $(60 \mathrm{mg})$ of the fraction containing miliusol ( $\mathbf{l b}, 7.04 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was purified by preparativeTLC (silica gel, thickness 5 mm ) using n-hexane-EtOAc-MeOH (9:1:0.5) and yielded 30 mg of $\mathbf{1 b}$. Another fraction ( 4 g ) of fraction 5 gave 20 mg of sodium benzoate. Fraction $6(3 \mathrm{~g})$ was crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ and yielded 2.5 g of chrysosplenol C (4).

Miliusol (1'E)-(1R*,5R*,9S*)-9-hydroxy-1-(2,6-dimeth-ylhepta-1,5-dienyl)-3,6-dioxo-2-oxa-spiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (1b): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+38^{\circ}$ (c $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ $3444,2923,1777,1671,1445,1379,1212,1044,983 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data in acetone-d ${ }_{6}$, see Table 1; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=10.1,4.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 6.01$
( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7$ ), $5.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ), 5.15 ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=10.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right), 5.00\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right), 4.57$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 3.21 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}$ ), 2.39 ( 1 H , br s, OH-9), 2.34 ( 1 H , ddd, J = 14.3, 4.4, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~B}$ ), 2.30 ( 1 H , d, $J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A})$, $2.02-2.07\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}\right), 1.72\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.9^{\prime}\right), 1.68\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-7^{\prime}\right), 1.59\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 125 MHz ) $\delta 196.3$ (C-6), 174.9 (C-3), 148.2 (C-8), 145.5 (C-2'), 132.2 (C-6'), 129.1 (C-7), 123.2 (C-5'), 118.4 (C-1'), 82.0 (C-1), 63.2 (C-9), 52.3 (C-5), 39.7, 39.5 (C-10, C-3'), 38.0 (C-4), 26.0 (C-4'), 25.7 (C-7'), 17.7 (C-8'), 16.9 (C-9'); EIMS m/z 304 [M] ${ }^{+}$ (0.5), 286 [M - H2O] ${ }^{+}$(0.6), 245 (4), 218 (2), 190 (4), 153 (37), 135 (32), 107 (60), 84 (53), 69 (100), 55 (75); HRESITOFMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327.15625[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ 327.15668.
Miliusolide (3aS*,5S*,7aR*)-5-Benzoyloxy-3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-3H-benzofuran-2-one) (2): col orless crystals, mp $120-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAC); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max }$ 2931, 1781, 1726, 1270, $1172 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Table 2; EIMS m/z 259 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}(3), 258[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(0.5), 214\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]^{+}(4), 199$ (4), 123 (6), 119 (4), 105 (100), 92 (41), 91 (26), 77 (63), 51 (26); HRESITOFMS m/z $281.07823[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{-}$ Na 281.07843.

Chrysosplenol C (4',5,6,-trihydroxy-3,3',7-trimethoxyflavone) (4): EIMS m/z $360[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$. The compound was identified by analysis of the HMBC experiment. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 12.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}-5), 9.89\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}-4^{\prime}\right), 8.70$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}-6$ ), $7.67\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.4$ and $\left.2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 6.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right), 6.89$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 3.91 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.87 (3H, s, OMe-3'), 3.81 (3H, s, OMe-3); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 178.1$ (C-4), 155.5 (C-2), 154.5 (C-7), 149.7 (C-4'), 148.8 (C-9), 147.5 (C-3'), 145.6 (C-5), 137.6 (C-3), 129.6 (C-6), 122.6 (C-6'), 121.0 (C-1'), 115.6 (C-5'), 112.0 (C-2'), 105.5 (C-10), 91.0 (C-8), 59.7 ( OMe 3), 56.3 ( $\mathrm{OMe}-7$ ), 55.8 ( $\mathrm{OMe}^{\prime}$ ).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ data in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ are in the Experimental Section. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The correlations of $\mathrm{C}-10$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ ' at $\delta 40.36$ and 40.34 as well as the correlations of $\mathrm{H}_{2}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}-4^{\prime}(\delta 2.00-2.08)$ were not resolved. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ From $\mathrm{HH}-\mathrm{COSY}$ experiment. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ From ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{HMBC}$ experiment. e From NOESY experiment. ${ }^{f}$ Exchangeable. $(w)=$ weak. (vw) = very weak. $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}-4($ pro-R*), $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{H}-4$ (pro-S*); $\mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}-10$ (pro-S*), H-10B $=\mathrm{H}-10($ pro-R*).

